

CSC 347 - Concepts of Programming Languages

Static and Dynamic Types

Instructor: Stefan Mitsch



Learning Objectives

- ❓ How should we support programmers in interpreting memory content correctly?
- ❓ How should we support programmers in applying operations correctly?
 - Describe the role of types
 - Identify and describe the effects of static and dynamic type checking



Programming Without Types

- ? What is difficult about the code below?
- ? How can we improve the language to better support programmers?

```
1 void* getNext(void* x) {  
2     return *(void**)(x+7);  
3 }  
4 void* n = malloc(16);  
5 *(int*)n = 42;  
6 *(void**)(n+7) = NULL;  
7 void* nn = getNext(n);
```

- Types!

```
1 typedef struct Node {  
2     int value;  
3     Node* next;  
4 } Node;  
5  
6 Node* getNext (Node* x) {  
7     return x->next;  
8 }  
9  
10 Node* n = malloc(sizeof(Node));  
11 n->value = 42;  
12 n->next = NULL;  
13 Node* nn = getNext(n);
```



Programming Without Types

? How does Python overcome missing static types?

```
1 functools.reduce(function, iterable, [initial, ]/)
```

- Documentation: [Python Library](#)
- Examples
- Unit tests



Types

- Types define offsets

```
1 typedef struct Node {  
2     int value;  
3     Node* next;  
4 } Node;  
5  
6 Node* getNext (Node* x) {  
7     return x->next;  
8 }
```

- Types determine valid operations

```
1 println( 1 - 2 )  
2 println( "dog" - "cat" )
```

- Types are documentation



Type Enforcement

- Statically, track types with compiler
 - Compile time
 - Early
- Dynamically, store type with object
 - Run time
 - Late



Dynamic Type Checking

- Dynamic type checking resolves types or detects a failure at runtime
- Scheme is dynamic:
 - Accepted

```
1 #;> (define (f) (- 5 "hello"))
```

- Fails at runtime

```
1 #;> (f)
2 Error in -: expected type number, got '"hello"'.
```

- Javascript is dynamic:
 - Accepted, but type resolves in surprising ways

```
1 var x = 5 - "hello"; // x === NaN
```



Static Type Checking in Java and Scala

Java

```
1 int a = 5;  
2 String b = "hello";  
3 System.out.println ("Result = " + (a - b));
```

Scala

```
1 val a = 5  
2 val b = "hello"  
3 println(s"Result = ${a-b}")
```

- Compiler rejects code with (5 - "hello")

```
1 error: bad operand types for binary operator '-'  
2     System.out.println ("Result = " + (a - b));  
3                                     ^  
4 first type:  int  
5 second type: String
```



Dynamic Type Checking in Java and Scala

- We can make the error dynamic by casting

Java

```
1 int a = 5;  
2 String b = "hello";  
3 System.out.println ("Result = " + (a - (int)(Object)b));
```

Scala

```
1 val a = 5  
2 val b = "hello"  
3 println(s"Result = ${a-b.asInstanceOf[Int]}")
```

- Compiler accepts code, but dynamic type checking at runtime catches the invalid cast

```
1 ClassCastException: class String cannot be cast to class Integer
```

- Can convert any static error into a dynamic error: casting turns compile-time errors into runtime errors



Variables in Static Languages

- In static languages, variables have types

Java

```
1 int a = 5;  
2 a = "hello";
```

Scala

```
1 var a = 5  
2 a = "hello"
```

- Compiler error

```
1 incompatible types: String cannot be converted to int  
2     a = "hello";  
3         ^
```

- Type inference infers the most precise type possible



Variables in Dynamic Languages

- Variables do not have types in dynamic languages
- Only values have types

Scheme

```
1 #;> (define (main)
2       (define a 5)
3       (set! a "hello")
4       (display a)
5     )
6 #;> (main)
7 "hello"
```

Javascript

```
1 > function f() {
2     var a = 5;
3     a = "hello";
4     console.log(a);
5 }
6 > f()
7 "hello"
```



Type Conservativeness

Static type checking (Scala)

- Is sometimes conservative

```
1 def f(i: Int, s: String) = if true then i else s
```

- Runtime code modifications is harder
- Compiler optimizations based on types

Dynamic type checking (JavaScript)

- Not conservative: `f` always returns `i`

```
1 function f(i, s) = if true then i else s
```

- Runtime code modification often easy
- No compiler optimizations based on types



Summary

Static type checking

- At compile time
- Variables have types (often inferred)
- Benefits
 - compile-time detection of errors
 - no unit tests for type checking
 - automatic documentation
 - faster runtime (optimization)
 - less memory consumption

Dynamic type checking

- At runtime
- Variables do not have types
- Benefits
 - more flexible
 - usually conceptually simpler
 - faster compilation
 - easier runtime code generation/modification